**TCR 20S - Class 11**

**James Meredith Case Discussion Questions**

**Anonymous Grading**

**Due: Wednesday, April 15 by 6pm**

**No points will be given for answers to the Meredith discussion questions posted to drop box after 6pm on April 15 absent good cause for late submission. Late submission will be permitted only if the student certifies subject to the Honor Code penalty that, prior to submission, the student has not viewed any materials posted to the syllabus after 6pm on April 15**

Discussion Questions to complete after viewing Eyes on the Prize video (23 minutes from time code 32:08 to 55:00), reading pp 1-50 in Case Studies Vol. II (B), and reviewing readings 154 – 167. 100 word limit for answering each of the three questions below

Compose and save your answers to the questions listed below in a Word document with this file name: [ExamNumber]-Meredith. Submit to the Assignment Dropbox using the link on the syllabus or by going directly to the drop box. Put your exam number, not your name, on the top of your document and also use it as your unique identifier when prompted during drop box submission. [My co-ordinator, Karen Butler, will have access to your exam number, which she will use to post your points to the quiz totals spreadsheet where you are listed by clicker ID number.]

3 points for answers that demonstrate close attention to the video and to the assigned pages in the Case Study, excellent understanding of the relevant Georgia rules of professional conduct (assumed to apply) and ability to apply that understanding to the situation presented by the following questions.

2 points for answers that demonstrate that the video has been viewed and the assigned pages in the Case Study have been read, and basic understanding of relevant Georgia rules of professional conduct and some ability to apply that understanding to the situation presented by the following questions.

1 point for a good faith effort to complete this exercise.

Background

Imagine that you are Constance Baker Motley at the in-person meeting with James Meredith at NAACP headquarters in New York City mentioned on page 28 of Case Studies Vol II(B). Assume that you are bound by Rules of Professional Conduct identical to the Georgia Rules. You have asked Meredith to meet with you to discuss the letter he sent you saying that he wanted to end his efforts to gain admission as an undergraduate to the University of Mississippi, which appears at pp. 25-28 of the Case Studies Vol. II(B)

Discussion Question 1:

List all of your own interests and the interests of any third parties that you should consider as possible interests that might materially and adversely affect your representation of Meredith at this point.

Discussion Question 2:  
Write down in quotation marks the core of what you would say to Meredith at this meeting.

Discussion Question 3:  
Explain why you would choose to say to Meredith the words you have written down in response to Question 2.